

**Frequently Asked Questions**  
**Proposition 1E Stormwater Flood Management Grant Program (Round 1)**

#	Question Type	Question	Answer	Date Posted
1	IRWM Plan Adoption	<p>The SWFM PSP states that in order to demonstrate eligibility, a region must submit "verification that the IRWM Plan addresses all the Plan Standards as listed in the Guidelines."</p> <p>Does this mean that at the time a proposal is submitted that the plan needs to be up to current standards described in the guidelines? Or, can we interpret this requirement similar to the one in the Prop. 84 Implementation PSP whereby a plan doesn't need to be up to standards, but that when the proposal is submitted the Regional Water Management Group (RWMG) needs to be in a binding agreement with DWR to update the plan within 2 years?</p> <p>Could you please clarify?</p>	Similar to Prop 84 Implementation PSP, at the time of application, the SWFM applicant must have an adopted IRWM Plan. However, if the Plan was adopted on or before September 30, 2008, then grantee must enter into a binding agreement with DWR similar to Exhibit H (Consent Form) of the Implementation PSP. Once the SWFM grant agreement is signed, the grantee has two years to update the IRWM Plan.	12/14/2010
2	SWFM PSP Criteria	I'm assisting several clients with Prop 1E proposals. A question being asked of a client with multiple projects is how many proposals have to be submitted. If there are multiple flood protection projects in one creek (identified as different reaches of one overall large project) they can be submitted in one proposal as long as each "subproject" is adequately described, etc. The PSP does imply that proposals can have multiple projects. But if the agency has multiple large projects with various reaches each in completely different watersheds, then each "watershed-based, overall project" needs to be proposed separately?	In general your assumption is correct. One application (aka proposal) can include multiple projects. However, we are not restricting applications/proposals to only include projects within the same watershed (assuming the watersheds are within the same IRWM region). However, when breaking up a large project into multiple "subprojects" make sure that the benefits for each subproject do not overlap to avoid double-counting.	1/6/2011

This table will be periodically updated; however, DWR will not circulate an email each time an update occurs. Please compare the "last updated" date with any previous versions you may have printed to ensure you have the latest information.